Beating the lower bound ... non-comparison-based sorts

Idea: Algorithms that are NOT comparison-based might be faster.

There are three such algorithms presented in Chapter 8:

- counting sort
- radix sort bucket sort

These algorithms

- run in O(n) time (under certain conditions)
- either use information about the values to be sorted (counting sort, bucket sort), or
- operate on "pieces" of the input elements (radix sort)

Counting Sort Requirement: input elements are integers in known range [0..k] for some constant k **Idea:** for each input element x, find the number of elements $\leq x$ (say this number = m) and put x in the (m+1)st spot in the output array. Counting-Sort(A, k) // A[1..n] is input array, C[0..k] is initially all 0's, B[1..n] is output array // (initially all 0's) 1. for i = 1 to A.length C[A[i]] = C[A[i]] + 1 // Count number of times each value appears in A 2. 3. for i = 1 to k // Make C into a "prefix sum" array, where C[i] 4. C[i] = C[i] + C[i-1] // contains number of elements <= i 5. for j = A.length downto 1 B[C[A[j]]] = A[j] C[A[j]] = C[A[j]] - 1 6. 7. Running time of Counting-Sort?

Running Time of Counting Sort

for loop in lines 1-2 takes $\theta(n)$ time. for loop in lines 3-4 takes $\theta(k)$ time. Overall time is $\theta(k + n)$. for loop in lines 5-7 takes $\theta(n)$ time.

In practice, use counting sort when we have $k = \theta(n)$, so running time is $\theta(n)$.

This version of counting sort has the important property of stability. A sorting algorithm is stable when numbers with equal values appear in the output array in the same order as they do in the input array.

Important when satellite data is stored with elements being sorted and when counting sort is used as a subroutine for radix sort, the next NCB algorithm we'll look at.

Radix Sort

Let *d* be the number of digits in each input number.

Radix-Sort(A, d) 1. for *i* = 1 to *d* 2. use stable sort to sort array A on digit i

- Note: radix sort sorts the *least* significant digit first.
 - correctness can be shown by induction on the digit being sorted.

Running time of Radix-Sort?

• counting sort is often used as the stable sort in step 2.



Let *d* be the number of digits in each input number.

Radix-Sort(A, d) 1. for i = 1 to d

2. use stable sort to sort array A on digit i

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Running time of radix sort:}} & O(dT_{cs}(n)) \\ \bullet & T_{cs} \text{ is the time for the internal sort. Counting sort gives} \\ & T_{cs}(n) = O(k+n), \text{ so } O(dT_{cs}(n)) = O(d(k+n)), \\ & \text{ which is } O(n) \text{ if } d = O(1) \text{ and } k = O(n). \end{array}$



Bucket Sort

Bucket-Sort(A, x, y)

- 1. divide interval [x, y) into *n* equal-sized subintervals (buckets)
- 2. distribute the *n* input keys into the buckets
- 3. sort the numbers in each bucket (e.g., with insertion sort) as they are inserted in the bucket
- 4. scan the (sorted) buckets in order and produce output array
- <u>Running time of bucket sort:</u> O(n) expected time Step 1: O(1) for each interval = O(n) time total.
- Step 2: O(n) time.
- Step 3: The expected number of elements in each bucket is O(1)(see book for formal argument, section 8.4), so total is O(n)Step 4: O(n) time to scan the *n* buckets containing a total of *n* input
- elements

A bucket is really a linked list.

Summary NCB Sorts

Non-Comparison-Based Sorts

	Running Time			
	worst-case	average-case	best-case	in place
Counting Sort Radix Sort Bucket Sort	O(n + k) O(d(n + k'))	O(n + k) $O(d(n + k'))$ $O(n)$	O(n + k) O(d(n + k'))	no no no

<u>Counting sort</u> requires known range of data [0,1,2,..,k] and uses array indexing to count the number of occurrences of each value. <u>Radix sort</u> requires that each integer consists of d digits, and each digit is in range [1,2,..,k'].

Bucket sort requires advance knowledge of input distribution (sorts n numbers uniformly distributed in range in O(n) time).