

Sorting Algorithm Terminology

- A sorting algorithm is *comparison-based* if the only operation we can perform on keys is to compare them.
- A sorting algorithm is in place if only a constant number of elements of the input array are ever stored outside the array.

Running Time of Comparison-Based Sorting Algorithms						
	worst-case	average-case	best-case	in place?		
Insertion Sor Merge Sort Heap Sort Quick Sort	t n² nlgn	n² nlgn	n nlgn	yes no		









Binary Heaps

Heap implementation is an array-embedded binary tree

- Encoding stores tree elements at particular indexes in an array.
- Uses "level-ordering" and 1-based indexing (our textbook).

Heapsort In an array-embedded implementation of a heap: • heapsize is number of elements in heap • length is number of positions in array • Invariant: length ≥ heapsize. $\int_{4}^{0} \int_{5}^{1} \int_{6}^{3} \int_{7}^{7} \int_{8}^{7} \int_{9}^{7} \int_{10}^{7} \int_{11}^{7} \int_{10}^{7} \int_{10$









Max-Heapify: Maintaining the Max-Heap Property

Precondition: the subtrees rooted at 2i and 2i+1 are max-heaps

/* Max-Heapify is also known as "Sink" */ Max-Heapify(A, i)

- 1. left = 2i ; right = 2i + 1 largest = i
- /* set largest to i, parent node of left and right */ 3. if left \leq A.heapsize and A[left] > A[i]
- largest = left /* reset largest to left child 4.
- 5. if right \leq A.heapsize and A[right] > A[largest]
- largest = right /* reset largest to right child 6.
- 7. if largest != i /* keep sinking i in tree */
- 8. swap(A[i], A[largest])
- Max-Heapify(A, largest) /* continue heapifying toward leaves */ 9.

Sink: Alternate version of Max-Heapify

Create variables with global scope:

int heapsize: E[] array = (E[]) new Object[length];

Sink compares (possibly smaller) parent i to (possibly larger) left and right children and swaps key of child with largest key with parent. Correctness relies on the precondition that the left and right children are the roots of max-heaps.

Assume size >> heapsize and heapsize is highest-numbered node in heap



Max-Heapify: Maintaining the Max-Heap Property

Precondition: subtrees rooted at the left and right children of A[i], A[2i] and A[2i + 1] are max-heaps (i.e., they obey the max-heap property)

...but subtree rooted at A[i] might not be a max-heap (that is, A[i] may be smaller than its left and/or right child)

 Postcondition: Max-Heapify will cause the value at A[i] to "float down" or "sink" in the heap until the subtree rooted at A[i] becomes a heap.

In a totally unordered array, execution would start at the highest numbered parent node of a leaf.

Max-Heapify: Running Time

Running Time of Max-Heapify

- every line is $\theta(1)$ time except the recursive call
- in worst-case, last level of binary tree is half empty, so the sub-tree rooted at left child has size < (2/3)n

We get the recurrence $T(n) \le T(2n/3) + \theta(1)$ which, by case 2 of the master theorem, has the solution

T(n) = O(Ign)

(or, Max-Heapify takes O(h) time when node A[i] has height h in the heap) The height h of the tree is the root to leaf path with the most edges. O(h) = O(lgn)

Build-Max-Heap - Tighter bound

Proposition 1:

Sink-based heap construction uses fewer than 2n compares and fewer than n exchanges to construct a heap from n items.

Proof sketch:

Follows from the observation that most of the heaps processed are small. E.g., to build a heap of 127 items, we process 32 heaps of size 3, 16 heaps of size 7, 8 heaps of size 15, 4 heaps of size 31, 2 heaps of size 63, and 1 heap of size 127. Thus, there are 32X1 + 16X2 + 8X3 + 4X4 + 2X5 + 1X6 = 120 exchanges (and twice as many compares) required (at worst).





Correctness of Build-Max-Heap

Loop invariant: At the start of each iteration *i* of the for loop, each node i + 1, i + 2, ..., n is the root of a max-heap.

- Initialization: i = |n/2|. Each node |n/2| + 1, |n/2| + 2, ... n is a leaf, trivially satisfying the max-heap property.
- Inductive hypothesis: At the start of iteration k ($1 \le k \le (n/2)$), the subtrees of k are the roots of max-heaps
- Inductive step (maintenance): During iteration k, Max-Heapify is called on node k. By the IH, the left and right subtrees of k are max-heaps. When Max-Heapify is called on node k, the value in node k is "floated down" in its subtree until its value is correctly positioned in the max-heap rooted at k

Build-Max-Heap(A)

1. A.heapsize = A.length 2. for i = |A.length/2| downto 1 З.

Max-Heapify(A, i)

Correctness of Build-Max-Heap

Termination: at termination, i = 0. By the loop invariant, nodes 1, 2, ..., n are the roots of max-heaps. Therefore the algorithm is correct because it produces a max-heap.

> Build-Max-Heap(A) 1. A.heapsize = A.length 2. for i = |A.length/2| downto 1 Max-Heapify(A, i) 3.

Loop invariant: At the start of each iteration *i* of the for loop, each node i + 1, i + 2, ..., n is the root of a maxheap.

Heap Sort

Input: An *n*-element array A (unsorted).

Output: An n-element array A in sorted order, smallest to largest.

HeapSort(A)

- 1. Build-Max-Heap(A) /* rearrange elements to form max heap */
- 2. for i = A.length downto 2 do
- swap A[1] and A[i] /* puts max in ith array position */ 3.
- 4. A.heapSize = A.heapSize -1/* decrease heap size */ 5.

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Running time of HeapSort}} \\ \bullet \ 1 \ \text{call to Build-Max-Heap()} \\ \Rightarrow \ O(n) \ \text{time} \\ \bullet \ n-1 \ \text{calls to Max-Heapify()} \\ each \ takes \ O(Ign) \ \text{time} \\ \Rightarrow \ O(nIgn) \ \text{time} \end{array}$

Max-Heapify(A,1) /* restore heap property from node 1 */

Build-Max-Heap(A) takes O(n) time

Max-Heapify(A,1) takes O(lgn) time



Iterative version of Heap Sort

Input: An *n*-element array A (unsorted). Output: An *n*-element array A in sorted order, smallest to largest.

public static void sort(Comparable[] A)

int n = A.length ;

// start at highest numbered parent node
for (int k = n/2; k >= 1; k--)
sink(A, k, n);

- while (n > 1)
- ⁱ swap(A, 1, n--);
- sink(A, 1, n);

}

Heapsort Time and Space Usage

- An array implementation of a heap uses *O*(*n*) space -one array element for each node in heap
- Heapsort uses O(n) space and is in place, meaning at most constant extra space beyond that taken by the input is needed
- Running time is as good as merge sort, O(nlgn) in worst case.

Heaps as Priority Queues

Definition: A **priority queue** is a data structure for maintaining a set S of elements, each with an associated key. A max-heap gives priority to keys with larger values and supports the following operations:

- 1. insert(A, x) inserts the element x into array at next highest position in A.
- 2. max(A) returns value of element A with largest key.
- 3. extract-max(A) removes and returns element of A with largest key.
- 4. <u>increase-key(A,x,k)</u> increases the value of element x's key to new value k (assuming k is at least as large as current key's value).

Priority Queues

An application of max-priority queues is to schedule jobs on a shared processor. Need to be able to

check current job's priority remove job from the queue insert new jobs into queue increase priority of jobs

Heap-Maximum(A) Heap-Extract-Max(A) Max-Heap-Insert(A, key) Heap-Increase-Key(A,i,key)

Initialize PQ by running Build-Max-Heap on an array A. A[1] holds the maximum value after this step. Heap-Maximum(A) - returns value of A[1] (does nothing to heap). Heap-Extract-Max(A) - Saves A[1] and then, like Heap-Sort, puts item in A[heapsize] at A[1], decrements heapsize, and uses Max-Heapify(A, 1) to restore heap property.

Heap-Increase-Key

Heap-Increase-Key(A, i, key) - If key is larger than current key at A[i], moves new value in A[i] up heap until heap property is restored.

An application for a min-heap priority queue is an eventdriven simulator, where the key is an integer representing the number of seconds (or other discrete time unit) from time zero (starting point for simulation).

Sorting Algorithms

- A sorting algorithm is *comparison-based* if the only operation we can perform on keys is to compare them.
- A sorting algorithm is *in place* if only a constant number of elements of the input array are ever stored outside the array.

Running Time of Comparison-Based Sorting Algorithms

	worst-case	average-case	best-case	in place?
Insertion Sor	† <i>n</i> ²	n²	n	yes
Merge Sort	<i>n</i> lg <i>n</i>	<i>n</i> lg <i>n</i>	<i>n</i> lg <i>n</i>	no
Heap Sort Quick Sort	<i>n</i> lg <i>n</i>	<i>n</i> lg <i>n</i>	<i>n</i> lg <i>n</i>	yes

Build-Max-Heap - Tighter bound

Build-Max-Heap(A)

1. A.heapsize = A.length

- 2. for *i* ← [*length*(A)/2] downto 1
- 3. Max-Heapify(A, i)

Proof of tighter bound (O(n)) relies on following theorem:

Theorem 1: The number of nodes at height h in a maxheap $\leq \lfloor n/2^{h+1} \rfloor$

Height of a node v = longest distance from v to a leaf. Depth of a node v = distance from node v to the root.

Tight analysis relies on the properties that an n-node heap has height floor of Ign and at most the ceiling of $n/2^{h+1}$ nodes at height h. The time for max-heapify to run at a node varies with the height of the node in the tree, and the heights of most nodes are small.

Lemma 1: The number of internal nodes in a proper binary tree is equal to the number of leaves in the tree - 1.

Defn: In a proper binary tree (pbt), each node has exactly 0 or 2 children.

Let I be the number of internal nodes and let L be the number of leaves in a proper binary tree T. The proof is by induction on the height of T.

Basis: h=0. I = 0 and L = 1. I = L - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0, so the lemma holds.

Inductive Step: Assume lemma is true for proper binary trees of height h (IHOP) and show for proper binary trees of height h + 1.

Consider the root of a proper binary tree T of height h+1. It has left and right subtrees (L and R) of height at most h. $I_T = (I_L + I_R) + 1 = (L_L - 1) + (L_R - 1) + 1$ (by the IHOP) = ((L_L + L_R -2) + 1 = L_L + L_R -1. Since L_T = L_L + L_R we have that I_T = L_T - 1. **QED**



Theorem 1: The number of nodes at level h in a maxheap $\leq \lfloor n/2^{h+1} \rfloor$

Let H be the height of the heap. Proof is by induction on h, the height of each node. The number of nodes in the heap is n.

Basis: Show the theorem holds for nodes with h = 0. The tree leaves (nodes at height 0) are at depth H.

Let x be the number of nodes at depth H, that is, the number of leaves, assuming that the tree is a complete binary tree, i.e., that $n=2^{H+1}$ - 1

Note that n - x is odd, because a complete binary tree has an odd number of internal nodes (1 less than a power of 2) and an even number of leaves = 2^{μ}

Theorem 1: The number of nodes at level h in a maxheap $\leq \lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil$...(basis continued)

We have that n is odd and x is even, so all leaves have siblings (all internal nodes have 2 children.) By Lemma 1, the number of internal nodes = the number of leaves - 1.

So n = # of nodes = # of leaves + # internal nodes = 2(# of leaves) - 1. Thus, the # of leaves = $(n+1)/2 = \lceil n/2^{0+1} \rceil$ because n is odd.

Thus, the number of leaves = $\lceil n/2^{\theta+1}\rceil$ and the theorem holds for the base case.

Theorem 1: The number of nodes at level h in a maxheap $\leq \lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil$

Inductive step: Show that if thm 1 holds for height h-1, it holds for h.

Let n_h be the number of nodes at height h in the n-node tree T.

Consider the tree T' formed by removing the leaves of T. It has n' = n - n_0 nodes. We know from the base case that n_0 = [n/2], so n' = n - [n/2] = [n/2].

Note that each node at height h (e.g. 1) in T would be at height h-1 (e.g. 0) if the leaves of the tree were removed-i.e., they are at height h-1 in T'. Letting n'_{h-1} denote the number of nodes at height h-1 in T', we have $n_h = n'_{h-1}$

 $n_h = n'_{h\cdot 1} \leq \lceil n'/2^h \rceil \text{ (by the IHOP)} = \lceil \lfloor n/2 \rfloor/2^h \rceil \leq \lceil (n/2)/2^h \rceil = \lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil.$

Since the time of Max-Heapify when called on a node of height h is O(h), the time of B-M-H is $\sum_{h=0}^{\lg n} \frac{n}{2^{h+1}} O(h) = O(n \sum_{h=0}^{\lg n} \frac{h}{2^{h}})$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\log n} \frac{n}{2^{h+1}} O(h) = O(n \sum_{h=0}^{\log n} \frac{h}{2^h})$$

and since the last summation turns out to be a constant, the running time is $O(n). \label{eq:constant}$

Therefore, we can build a max-heap from an unordered array in linear time.