

Symbols

A `list-of-sym` program:

```
; list-of-sym -> list-of-sym
(define (eat-apples l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define ate-rest (eat-apples (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(symbol=? (first l) 'apple) ate-rest]
         [else (cons (first l) ate-rest)]))]))
```

Symbols

A `list-of-sym` program:

```
; list-of-sym -> list-of-sym
(define (eat-apples l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define ate-rest (eat-apples (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(symbol=? (first l) 'apple) ate-rest]
         [else (cons (first l) ate-rest)]))]))
```

- How about `eat-bananas`?
- How about `eat-non-apples`?

Symbols

A `list-of-sym` program:

```
; list-of-sym -> list-of-sym
(define (eat-apples l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define ate-rest (eat-apples (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(symbol=? (first l) 'apple) ate-rest]
         [else (cons (first l) ate-rest)]))]))
```

- How about `eat-bananas`?
- How about `eat-non-apples`?

We know where this leads...

Filtering Symbols

```
; (sym -> bool) list-of-sym -> list-of-sym
(define (filter-syms PRED l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define r
               (filter-syms PRED (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(PRED (first l))
          (cons (first l) r)]
         [else r]))]))
```

Filtering Symbols

```
; (sym -> bool) list-of-sym -> list-of-sym
(define (filter-syms PRED l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define r
               (filter-syms PRED (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(PRED (first l))
          (cons (first l) r)]
         [else r]))]))
```

This looks *really* familiar

Last Time: Filtering Numbers

```
; (num -> bool) list-of-num -> list-of-num
(define (filter-nums PRED l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define r
               (filter-nums PRED (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(PRED (first l))
          (cons (first l) r)]
         [else r]))]))
```

Last Time: Filtering Numbers

```
; (num -> bool) list-of-num -> list-of-num
(define (filter-nums PRED l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define r
               (filter-nums PRED (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(PRED (first l))
          (cons (first l) r)]
         [else r]))]))
```

How do we avoid cut and paste?

Filtering Lists

We know this function will work for both number and symbol lists:

```
; ...
(define (filter PRED l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l)
     (local [(define r
               (filter PRED (rest l)))]
       (cond
         [(PRED (first l))
          (cons (first l) r)]
         [else r]))]))
```

But what is its signature?

The Signature of Filter

How about this?

```
(num-OR-sym -> bool) list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym  
-> list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym
```

```
; A num-OR-sym is either  
; - num  
; - sym
```

```
; A list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym is either  
; - list-of-num  
; - list-of-sym
```

The Signature of Filter

How about this?

```
(num-OR-sym -> bool) list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym  
-> list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym
```

This signature is too weak to define `eat-apples`

```
; list-of-sym -> list-of-sym  
(define (eat-apples l)  
  (filter not-apple? l))  
  
; sym -> bool  
(define (not-apple? s)  
  (not (symbol=? s 'apple)))
```

`eat-apples` must return a `list-of-sym`, but by its signature, `filter` might return a `list-of-num`

The Signature of Filter

How about this?

```
(num-OR-sym -> bool) list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym  
-> list-of-num-OR-list-of-sym
```

This signature is too weak to define `eat-apples`

```
; list-of-sym -> list-of-sym  
(define (eat-apples l)  
  (filter not-apple? l))  
  
; sym -> bool  
(define (not-apple? s)  
  (not (symbol=? s 'apple)))
```

`not-apple?` only works on symbols, but by its signature `filter` might give it a num

The Signature of Filter

The reason **filter** works is that if we give it a **list-of-sym**, then it returns a **list-of-sym**

Also, if we give **filter** a **list-of-sym**, then it calls **PRED** with symbols only

The Signature of Filter

The reason `filter` works is that if we give it a `list-of-sym`, then it returns a `list-of-sym`

Also, if we give `filter` a `list-of-sym`, then it calls `PRED` with symbols only

A better signature:

```
((num -> bool) list-of-num  
-> list-of-num)
```

OR

```
((sym -> bool) list-of-sym  
-> list-of-sym)
```

The Signature of Filter

The reason `filter` works is that if we give it a `list-of-sym`, then it returns a `list-of-sym`

Also, if we give `filter` a `list-of-sym`, then it calls `PRED` with symbols only

A better signature:

```
((num -> bool) list-of-num  
-> list-of-num)
```

OR

```
((sym -> bool) list-of-sym  
-> list-of-sym)
```

But what about a list of `images`, `posns`, or `snakes`?

The True Signature of Filter

The real signature is

```
((X -> bool) list-of-X -> list-of-X)
```

where **X** stands for any type

- The caller of **filter** gets to pick a type for **X**
- All **X**s in the signature must be replaced with the same type

The True Signature of Filter

The real signature is

```
((X -> bool) list-of-X -> list-of-X)
```

where **X** stands for any type

- The caller of **filter** gets to pick a type for **X**
- All **Xs** in the signature must be replaced with the same type

Data definitions need type variables, too:

```
; A list-of-X is either  
; - '()  
; - (cons X list-of-X)
```


Using Filter

The `filter` function is so useful that it's built in

```
(define (eat-apples l)
  (local [(define (not-apple? s)
             (not (symbol=? s 'apple)))]
    (filter not-apple? l)))
```

Looking for Other Built-In Functions

Recall `feed-fish`:

```
; list-of-num -> list-of-num
(define (feed-fish l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [else (cons (+ 1 (first l))
                (feed-fish (rest l)))]))
```

Is there a built-in function to help?

Looking for Other Built-In Functions

Recall `feed-fish`:

```
; list-of-num -> list-of-num
(define (feed-fish l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [else (cons (+ 1 (first l))
                (feed-fish (rest l)))]))
```

Is there a built-in function to help?

Yes: `map`

Using Map

```
(define (map CONV l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [else (cons (CONV (first l))
                 (map CONV (rest l)))]))
```

; list-of-num -> list-of-num

```
(define (feed-fish l)
  (local [(define (feed-one n)
             (+ n 1))]
    (map feed-one l)))
```

; list-of-animal -> list-of-animal

```
(define (feed-animals l)
  (map feed-animal l))
```

The Signature for Map

```
(define (map CONV l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [else (cons (CONV (first l))
                 (map CONV (rest l)))])
```

- The **l** argument must be a list of **X**
- The **CONV** argument must accept each **X**
- If **CONV** returns a new **X** each time, then the signature for **map** is

(X -> X) list-of-X -> list-of-X

Posns and Distances

```
; list-of-posn -> list-of-num
(define (distances l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l) (cons (distance-to-0 (first l))
                     (distances (rest l)))])])
```

Posns and Distances

```
; list-of-posn -> list-of-num
(define (distances l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) '()]
    [(cons? l) (cons (distance-to-0 (first l))
                     (distances (rest l)))])])
```

The `distances` function looks just like `map`, except that `distance-to-0` is

`posn -> num`

not

`posn -> posn`

The True Signature of Map

Despite the signature mismatch, this works:

```
(define (distances l)
  (map distance-to-0 l))
```


The True Signature of Map

Despite the signature mismatch, this works:

```
(define (distances l)
  (map distance-to-0 l))
```

The true signature of `map` is

```
(X -> Y) list-of-X -> list-of-Y
```

The caller gets to pick both `X` and `Y` independently

More Uses of Map

```
; list-of-posn -> list-of-posn
(define (rsvp l)
  ; replaces 4 lines:
  (map flip-posn l))

; posn -> posn
....
```

More Uses of Map

```
; list-of-num -> list-of-num  
(define (align-bricks lon)  
  ; replaces 4 lines:  
  (map round lon))
```

More Uses of Map

```
; list-of-car -> list-of-car  
(define (rob-train l)  
  ; replaces 4 lines:  
  (map rob-car l))  
  
; car -> car  
...
```

Folding a List

How about **sum**?

list-of-num -> num

Doesn't return a list, so neither **filter** nor **map** help

Folding a List

How about `sum`?

```
list-of-num -> num
```

Doesn't return a list, so neither `filter` nor `map` help

Abstracting over `sum` and `product` leads to `combine-nums`:

```
; list-of-num num (num num -> num) -> num
(define (combine-nums l base-n COMB)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base-n]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB
      (first l)
      (combine-nums (rest l) base-n COMB))]))
```

The Foldr Function

```
; (X Y -> Y) Y list-of-X -> Y
(define (foldr COMB base l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB (first l)
            (foldr COMB base (rest l)))])))
```

The Foldr Function

```
; (X Y -> Y) Y list-of-X -> Y
(define (foldr COMB base l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB (first l)
           (foldr COMB base (rest l)))])))
```

The **sum** and **product** functions become trivial:

```
(define (sum l) (foldr + 0 l))
(define (product l) (foldr * 1 l))
```


The Foldr Function

```
; (X Y -> Y) Y list-of-X -> Y
(define (foldr COMB base l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB (first l)
           (foldr COMB base (rest l)))]))

; list-of-posn -> num
(define (total-distance l)
  (local [(define (add-distance p n)
             (+ (distance-to-0 p) n))]
    (foldr add-distance 0 l)))
```

The Foldr Function

```
; (X Y -> Y) Y list-of-X -> Y
(define (foldr COMB base l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB (first l)
           (foldr COMB base (rest l)))]))
```

In fact,

```
(define (map f l)
  (local [(define (comb i r)
            (cons (f i) r))]
    (foldr comb '() l)))
```

The Foldr Function

```
; (X Y -> Y) Y list-of-X -> Y
(define (foldr COMB base l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB (first l)
           (foldr COMB base (rest l)))])))
```

Yes, `filter` too:

```
(define (filter f l)
  (local [(define (check i r)
            (cond
              [(f i) (cons i r)]
              [else r]))]
    (foldr check '() l)))
```

The Source of Foldr

How can `foldr` be so powerful?

The Source of Foldr

Template:

```
(define (func-for-loX l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) ...]
    [(cons? l) ... (first l)
     ... (func-for-loX (rest l)) ...]))
```

Fold:

```
(define (foldr COMB base l)
  (cond
    [(empty? l) base]
    [(cons? l)
     (COMB (first l)
           (foldr COMB base (rest l)))]))
```

Other Built-In List Functions

More specializations of `foldr`:

```
ormap : (X -> bool) list-of-X -> bool
```

```
andmap : (X -> bool) list-of-X -> bool
```

Examples:

```
; list-of-sym -> bool
(define (got-milk? l)
  (local [(define (is-milk? s)
            (symbol=? s 'milk))]
    (ormap is-milk? l)))
```

```
; list-of-grade -> bool
(define (all-passed? l)
  (andmap passing-grade? l))
```

What about Non-Lists?

Since it's based on the template, the concept of fold is general

```
; (sym num sym Z Z -> Z) Z ftn -> Z
(define (fold-ftn COMB base ftn)
  (cond
    [(empty? ftn) base]
    [(child? ftn)
     (COMB (child-name ftn) (child-date ftn) (child-eyes ftn)
           (fold-ftn COMB BASE (child-father ftn))
           (fold-ftn COMB BASE (child-mother ftn)))]))

(define (count-persons ftn)
  (local [(define (add name date color c-f c-m)
            (+ 1 c-f c-m))]
    (fold-ftn add 0 ftn)))

(define (in-family? who ftn)
  (local [(define (here? name date color in-f? in-m?)
                (or (symbol=? name who) in-f? in-m?))]
    (fold-ftn here? #false ftn)))
```