7.4.4 Testing Membership in a CFL

We can also decide membership of a string $w$ in a CFL $L$. There are several inefficient ways to make the test; they take time that is exponential in $|w|$. 
assuming a grammar or PDA for the language \( L \) is given and its size is treated as a constant, independent of \( w \). For instance, start by converting whatever representation of \( L \) we are given into a CNF grammar for \( L \). As the parse trees of a Chomsky-Normal-Form grammar are binary trees, if \( w \) is of length \( n \) then there will be exactly \( 2n - 1 \) nodes labeled by variables in the tree (that result has an easy, inductive proof, which we leave to you). The number of possible trees and node-labelings is thus "only" exponential in \( n \), so in principle we can list them all and check to see if any of them yields \( w \).

There is a much more efficient technique based on the idea of "dynamic programming," which may also be known to you as a "table-filling algorithm" or "tabulation." This algorithm, known as the CYK Algorithm,\(^3\) starts with a CNF grammar \( G = (V, T, P, S) \) for a language \( L \). The input to the algorithm is a string \( w = a_1a_2\cdots a_n \) in \( T^* \). In \( O(n^3) \) time, the algorithm constructs a table that tells whether \( w \) is in \( L \). Note that when computing this running time, the grammar itself is considered fixed, and its size contributes only a constant factor to the running time, which is measured in terms of the length of the string \( w \) whose membership in \( L \) is being tested.

In the CYK algorithm, we construct a triangular table, as suggested in Fig. 7.12. The horizontal axis corresponds to the positions of the string \( w = a_1a_2\cdots a_n \), which we have supposed has length 5. The table entry \( X_{ij} \) is the set of variables \( A \) such that \( A \Rightarrow^* a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_j \). Note in particular, that we are interested in whether \( S \) is in the set \( X_{1n} \), because that is the same as saying \( S \Rightarrow^* w \), i.e., \( w \) is in \( L \).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
X_{15} & X_{14} & X_{25} \\
X_{13} & X_{24} & X_{35} \\
X_{12} & X_{23} & X_{34} & X_{45} \\
X_{11} & X_{22} & X_{33} & X_{44} & X_{55} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5
\]

Figure 7.12: The table constructed by the CYK algorithm

To fill the table, we work row-by-row, upwards. Notice that each row corresponds to one length of substrings; the bottom row is for strings of length 1, the second-from-bottom row for strings of length 2, and so on, until the top row corresponds to the one substring of length \( n \), which is \( w \) itself. It takes \( O(n) \) time to compute any one entry of the table, by a method we shall discuss next.

\(^3\)It is named after three people, each of whom independently discovered essentially the same idea: J. Cocke, D. Younger, and T. Kasami.
7.4. DECISION PROPERTIES OF CFL'S

Since there are $n(n + 1)/2$ table entries, the whole table-construction process takes $O(n^3)$ time. Here is the algorithm for computing the $X_{ij}$'s:

**Basis:** We compute the first row as follows. Since the string beginning and ending at position $i$ is just the terminal $a_i$, and the grammar is in CNF, the only way to derive the string $a_i$ is to use a production of the form $A \rightarrow a_i$. Thus, $X_{ii}$ is the set of variables $A$ such that $A \rightarrow a_i$ is a production of $G$.

**Induction:** Suppose we want to compute $X_{ij}$, which is in row $j - i + 1$, and we have computed all the $X$'s in the rows below. That is, we know about all strings shorter than $a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_j$, and in particular we know about all proper prefixes and proper suffixes of that string. As $j - i > 0$ may be assumed (since the case $i = j$ is the basis), we know that any derivation $A \Rightarrow a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_j$ must start out with some step $A \Rightarrow BC$. Then, $B$ derives some prefix of $a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_j$, say $B \Rightarrow a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_k$, for some $k < j$. Also, $C$ must then derive the remainder of $a_ia_{i+1}\cdots a_j$, that is, $C \Rightarrow a_{k+1}a_{k+2}\cdots a_j$.

We conclude that in order for $A$ to be in $X_{ij}$, we must find variables $B$ and $C$, and integer $k$ such that:

1. $i \leq k < j$.
2. $B$ is in $X_{ik}$.
3. $C$ is in $X_{k+1,j}$.
4. $A \Rightarrow BC$ is a production of $G$.

Finding such variables $A$ requires us to compare at most $n$ pairs of previously computed sets: $(X_{ii}, X_{i+1,j})$, $(X_{i,i+1}, X_{i+2,j})$, and so on, until $(X_{i,j-1}, X_{jj})$. The pattern, in which we go up the column below $X_{ij}$ at the same time we go down the diagonal, is suggested by Fig. 7.13.

![Figure 7.13: Computation of $X_{ij}$ requires matching the column below with the diagonal to the right](image)

**Theorem 7.33:** The algorithm described above correctly computes $X_{ij}$ for all $i$ and $j$; thus $w$ is in $L(G)$ if and only if $S$ is in $X_{1n}$. Moreover, the running time of the algorithm is $O(n^3)$. 
CHAPTER 7. PROPERTIES OF CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGES

PROOF: The reason the algorithm finds the correct sets of variables was explained as we introduced the basis and inductive parts of the algorithm. For the running time, note that there are \(O(n^2)\) entries to compute, and each involves comparing and computing with \(n\) pairs of entries. It is important to remember that, although there can be many variables in each set \(X_{ij}\), the grammar \(G\) is fixed and the number of its variables does not depend on \(n\), the length of the string \(w\) whose membership is being tested. Thus, the time to compare two entries \(X_{ik}\) and \(X_{k+1,j}\), and find variables to go into \(X_{ij}\) is \(O(1)\). As there are at most \(n\) such pairs for each \(X_{ij}\), the total work is \(O(n^3)\). \(\square\)

Example 7.34: The following are the productions of a CNF grammar \(G\):

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow AB \mid BC \\
A & \rightarrow BA \mid a \\
B & \rightarrow CC \mid b \\
C & \rightarrow AB \mid a \\
\end{align*}
\]

We shall test for membership in \(L(G)\) the string \(baaba\). Figure 7.14 shows the table filled in for this string.

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc|c}
\{S,A,C\} & \{S,A,C\} & \{B\} & \{B\} & \{S,A\} & \{B\} \\
\{S,A\} & \{B\} & \{S,C\} & \{S,A\} & \{A,C\} & \{B\} \\
\{B\} & \{A,C\} & \{A,C\} & \{B\} & \{A,C\} & \{B\} \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 7.14: The table for string \(baaba\) constructed by the CYK algorithm

To construct the first (lowest) row, we use the basis rule. We have only to consider which variables have a production body \(a\) (those variables are \(A\) and \(C\)) and which variables have body \(b\) (only \(B\) does). Thus, above those positions holding \(a\) we see the entry \(\{A,C\}\), and above the positions holding \(b\) we see \(\{B\}\). That is, \(X_{11} = X_{44} = \{B\}\), and \(X_{22} = X_{33} = X_{55} = \{A,C\}\).

In the second row we see the values of \(X_{12}, X_{23}, X_{34},\) and \(X_{45}\). For instance, let us see how \(X_{12}\) is computed. There is only one way to break the string from positions 1 to 2, which is \(ba\), into two nonempty substrings. The first must be position 1 and the second must be position 2. In order for a variable to generate \(ba\), it must have a body whose first variable is in \(X_{11} = \{B\}\) (i.e., it generates the \(b\)) and whose second variable is in \(X_{22} = \{A,C\}\) (i.e., it generates the \(a\)). This body can only be \(BA\) or \(BC\). If we inspect the grammar, we find that the
productions $A \to BA$ and $S \to BC$ are the only ones with these bodies. Thus, the two heads, $A$ and $S$, constitute $X_{12}$.

For a more complex example, consider the computation of $X_{24}$. We can break the string $aab$ that occupies positions 2 through 4 by ending the first string after position 2 or position 3. That is, we may choose $k = 2$ or $k = 3$ in the definition of $X_{24}$. Thus, we must consider all bodies in $X_{22}X_{34} \cup X_{23}X_{44}$. This set of strings is $\{A, C\} \{S, C\} \cup \{B\} \{B\} = \{AS, AC, CS, CC, BB\}$. Of the five strings in this set, only $CC$ is a body, and its head is $B$. Thus, $X_{24} = \{B\}$. \square